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Orthopaedic and Traumatology Secretariat – Dr Soetomo General Hospital Surabaya
On behalf of Dr Soetomo General Hospital Directors, we are extending a warm welcome to all participants and speakers of the APSS Surabaya Operative Course which will be held on December 16 – 18, 2016. It is very big pleasure for us to host the APSS Operative Course in Surabaya at our facility.

Our hospital is known as the top referral hospital in eastern part is Indonesia. The large number of patients with so many complex cases are being treated in our facilities. As our effort to develop the quality of care to patients, we have objectives which stated in our vision and missions to provide safe, effective and efficient care equally to all patients. We are always encouraged to provide the best care practices which often need advanced methods and skills.

Medical technology which is rapidly need in this era has challenged us as medical practitioners as well as intellectuals to adapt for those fast changing and high technology needs. No exception for orthopaedic field, specifically on spine surgery which is known for its advancement on methods and machines. By knowing this fact, it surely is essential to develop the individual quality itself. The value that has been promised by this event is clearly beneficial for the enhancement of skill and knowledge on spine surgery field.

The global collaboration with international professional organization has opened the gate of information and medical technology enhancement. As our spine surgeons have been maintaining communication with Asia Pacific Spine Society (APSS) through this operative course event, we believe that it will greatly contribute to the development and enhancement of spine surgery methods & techniques to all the participants and more widely to all developing hospital throughout Indonesia.

Lastly, we wish that all the participants will have a great opportunity to learn and achieve maximum benefits & values from this APSS Operative Course.

Best regards,

Harsono, MD
Director of Dr Soetomo General Hospital
Dear Colleagues,

It gives me the greatest pleasure to welcome you to join us at the Surabaya Operative Spine Course of the Asia Pacific Spine Society (APSS) which is held in Dr Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya, City, East Java, Indonesia from December 16 – 18, 2016. Following the previous successful operative course held in Bandung, the APSS is very excited to be back again in Indonesia for another operative course that comprises a larger group of international and local faculty members which are of high quality. Similar operative spine courses have been held once or twice annually in many Asian countries including Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, Thailand and Vietnam.

The course will provide a wonderful forum for you to enhance the principal and advanced knowledge of pathological conditions of spinal disorders and explore the latest surgical techniques of spinal surgery. As a regional based society, we are striving to offer plenty of networking opportunities, providing you with the opportunity to meet and interact with the leading Asian spine specialists and researchers in the field of spine surgery, friends and colleagues as well as exhibitors through lectures and saw bone workshops during the course. The highlight of the course is the showcase of live surgeries conducted by both our faculty members and local team, in which the surgeries will be transmitted live to the auditorium to facilitate the learning of the surgical techniques. Simultaneously, the delegates are able to discuss with the surgeons during and after their operations regarding various interesting surgical issues such as how to improve the good results of the surgeries and how to avoid complications.

On behalf of the APSS, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to the society’s partners of this course, i.e. Medtronic and DePuy Synthes, for their unwavering support to our educational activities, and to the local organizing chairman, Dr Ketut Martiana and his team whose leadership and conscientious efforts have contributed to such a successful partnership.

Alongside the educational program, Surabaya and its environs are an amazing place to visit. The city is known as Kota Pahlawan “city of heroes” due to the importance of the Battle of Surabaya in galvanizing Indonesian and international support for Indonesian independence during the Indonesian National Revolution. Short distances away are wonderful attractions such as Jalesveva Jayamahe Monument and Hero Monument. The city also has easy access to the Mount Bromo for those who are interested in visiting the active volcano that promises spectacular views and dramatic landscapes. The course promises to be highly rewarding on an intellectual basis as well as on a social basis.

We look forward to your active participation in joining us for a symphony of outstanding science, and take a little extra time to enjoy the breathtaking natural beauty of this region.

With warmest regards,

Kuniyoshi Abumi, MD
President of the Asia Pacific Spine Society
Dear Participants,

On behalf of The Executive Committee of APSS, the Indonesian Orthopaedic Association and the local organizing Committee, we would like to invite you to attend the APSS Surabaya Operative Course in Dr Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya in 16th – 18th December 2016. We are delighted to welcome you to this event. This event is a part of APSS educational activities and we prepare specially for you a workshop and lectures from outstanding experts around Asia Pacific to share their current knowledge and experience. We also prepare case discussion and live surgery in Dr Soetomo General Hospital, and we would give golden chances for participants to directly assist the live surgeries with those experts.

Beside the scientific meeting, I would like to encourage you to leisurely visit the Mt Bromo, which is one of still active mountains in Indonesia with great scenery. A gala dinner will also be held on Saturday for you to socialize and network with your colleagues and the experts. So, please join us.

On behalf of APSS chairman and local organizing committee, I kindly invite you to follow this event and enjoy it as a nice discussion with very distinguish experts. Please enjoy Surabaya.

Warm regards,

Ketut Martiana, MD
Local Organizing Chairman
Faculty – International & Local

[continued]

KEITH DIP KEI LUK
Department of Orthopedics & Traumatology,
The University of Hong Kong
HONG KONG

MUN KEONG KWAN
Spine Unit, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur
University Malaya Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur
MALAYSIA

YAT WA WONG
Department of Orthopedics & Traumatology,
The University of Hong Kong
Queen Mary Hospital
HONG KONG

JOSE MANUEL F IGNACIO
Department of Orthopedics,
University of the Philippines
Philippine General Hospital
PHILIPPINES

SHU-HUA YANG
Department of Orthopedics,
National Taiwan University Hospital
TAIWAN

GABRIEL LIU
Department of Orthopaedic Surgery,
National University of Singapore
National University Health System (NUHS)
SINGAPORE

MD SHAH ALAM
Department of Spine & Orthopaedic Surgery,
National Institute of Traumatology &
Orthopaedic Rehabilitation (NITOR)
BANGLADESH

KYU JUNG CHO
Department of Orthopedic Surgery,
Inha University Hospital, Incheon
KOREA

[continued]
Faculty – International & Local

[continued]

WEN-TIEN WU
Department of Orthopedics,
Hualien Tzu Chi Hospital
Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical Foundation
Tzu Chi University
TAIWAN

CHRIS YIN WEI CHAN
Spine Unit, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur
University Malaya Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur
MALAYSIA

BAMBANG TIKSNADI
Department of Orthopaedic and Traumatology,
Dr Hasan Sadikin Hospital, Bandung
INDONESIA

LUTHFI GATAM
Orthopaedic and Traumatology Department,
School of Medicine University of Indonesia,
Jakarta
Fatmawati General Hospital, Jakarta
INDONESIA

DOHAR TOBING
Department Medik Orthopaedic &
Traumatology RSCM, Jakarta
INDONESIA

KETUT MARTIANA
Orthopaedic Department,
School of Medicine Airlangga University, Surabaya
Dr Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya
INDONESIA

HARDIONO
Anesthesiology and Reanimation Department,
School of Medicine University of Airlangga, Surabaya
Premier Hospital, Surabaya
INDONESIA
1. Cervical Spine
   a. Anterior Cervical i.e. ACDF or ACCF
   b. Anterior Cervical i.e. Arthroplasty
   c. Posterior Cervical Fixation i.e. Lateral Mass or Pedicle Screw Fusion
   d. Posterior Cervical Decompression i.e. Laminoplasty
   e. Posterior Cervical C1/2 Fusion
   f. Posterior Occipitocervical Fusion

2. Coronal Deformity Correction
   a. Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis i.e. Posterior Instrumented Spinal Fusion

3. Sagittal Deformity Correction
   a. Ankylosing spondylitis
   b. Scheuermann kyphosis
   c. Neglected traumatic fracture
   d. TB spine kyphosis

4. Spinal Instability +/- Neurological Deficit for Stabilization +/- Decompression
   a. Traumatic acute fracture
   b. Spinal infection
   c. Spinal metastases

5. Lumbar Spinal Stenosis (LSS)
   a. Decompression alone i.e medial facetectomy, laminectomy or internal laminolasty, discectomy for HNP
   b. Decompression and fusion i.e. Open TLIF, MIS TLIF or DLIF

*The final operative list/cases will be decided on 16th December 2016 during the pre-operative assessment. 10 – 14 cases will be selected from the above list for live surgery telecast during the entire 3-day course.*
Daily Program
DAY 2 – 17TH DECEMBER 2016, SATURDAY

0700 – 0800  Registration of Participants
0800 – 0820  OPENING CONFERENCE
             – Hospital Director’s Welcome Speech
             Harsono
             – The Organising Chairman’s Welcome Speech
             Ketut Martiana
             – The APSS President’s Welcome Speech
             Kuniyoshi Abumi
0800 – 0835  Ethics in Spine Surgery
             Ketut Martiana
0835 – 0850  Patient Safety Matters in Spine Surgery
             Hardiono
0850 – 0905  Pathophysiology of Disc Degeneration and the
             Production of Pain  [page 19]
             Jose Manuel F Ignacio
0905 – 0920  Surgical Management of Cervical OPLL: Anterior or
             Posterior Approach?  [page 20]
             Kuniyoshi Abumi
0920 – 0935  TLIF with Cortical Pedicular Screw Fixation  [page 21]
             K S Sivananthan
0935 – 0950  Less Invasive Expansive Open Door Laminoplasty for
             Multiple Level Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy
             [page 22]
             Wen-Tien Wu
0950 – 1005 How to Choose between Fusion and Artificial Disc
             Replacement for Lumbar Degenerative Disc Diseases?
             [page 23]
             Bambang Tiksnadi
0800 – 1700  LIVE SURGERY
             (Kindly refer to the OT list attachment which will be distributed)
             – Operation Theatre 1:
             – Operation Theatre 2:
             – Operation Theatre 3:
1900 – 2100  GALA DINNER
             Venue: Ballroom Ciputra Golf
             Palimanan Restaurant, 2nd Floor,
             Ciputra Golf, Club & Hotel, Jl. Citra Raya Utama

Daily Program
DAY 3 – 18TH DECEMBER 2016, SUNDAY

0800 – 0815  Discussion and Evaluation: Post-Op Cases Done
             on DAY 2
0815 – 0830  Thoracic and Lumbar Pedicle Screw Fixation  [page 24]
             Kyu Jung Cho
0830 – 0845  Surgical Management of Kyphotic Deformity in
             Ankylosing Spondylitis  [page 25]
             Keith Dip Kei Luk
0845 – 0900  Selecting Fusion Levels in AIS Surgery  [page 26]
             Gabriel Liu
0900 – 0915  Congenital Scoliosis: Management Principles  [page 27]
             Yat Wa Wong
0915 – 0930  Severe Scoliosis: Treatment Options  [page 28]
             Arvind Jayaswal
0930 – 0945  Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery in Spinal Metastasis
             [page 29]
             Chris Yin Wei Chan
0945 – 1000  Degenerative Spondylolisthesis  [page 30]
             Luthfi Gatam
1700  Closing and Certificate Presentation
PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF DISC DEGENERATION AND THE PRODUCTION OF PAIN

Jose Manuel F Ignacio

Department of Orthopedics, University of the Philippines, Philippine General Hospital, Philippines

Despite all the literature printed on Degenerative Disc Conditions, there is still no universally accepted definition. The biochemist will define it different from an anatomist which will be different from that of pathologists viewpoint which differs from the way a clinician will approach the condition and so will a radiologist, and so on and so forth.

From the initial dissertation of Kirkaldy Willis on the degenerative cascade on spinal degeneration, there have been newer studies to refine and augment our understanding of the degenerative process. Genetic influences as well as more detailed understanding of the biochemical processes affecting the degenerative process of the spinal column are now becoming established knowledge. These shall be discussed in the presentation.
SURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF CERVICAL OPLL:
ANTERIOR OR POSTERIOR APPROACH?
Kuniyoshi Abumi
Sapporo Orthopaedic Hospital-Center for Spinal Disorders

Patients with myelopathy caused by ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) require surgical intervention. Direct anterior decompression by floating or excision of OPLL is effective for ventrally compressed cervical spinal cord by OPLL. Decompression through posterior approach is also effective for major cases of OPLL in the cervical spine with physiological lordosis. Posterior procedure include several types of laminoplasty have been developed for cervical OPLL. There are controversy for selection of surgical procedure among the spine surgeons.

Suda and Abumi showed that kyphotic alignment of the cervical spine is major factor causing poor surgical outcome after laminoplasty for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Fujiiyoshi et al proposed the K-line defining as a line that connects the midpoints of the spinal canal at C2 and C7 (Fig). They demonstrate that a sufficient posterior shift of the spinal cord and neurologic improvement will not be obtained after posterior decompression surgery in the K-line (-) group. Matsumoto et al showed that preoperative kyphosis of the cervical spine and severe (>60%) compromise of the spinal canal by OPLL appear to be the limiting factors for the indication of laminoplasty. Yoshii et al demonstrated that the postoperative recovery rate was similar in the posteriorly and anteriorly managed groups, and in patients with massive OPLL with kyphotic alignment, neurologic recovery rate in the anterior was superior to that in the posterior. However, they resulted that the occurrence of perioperative complications was more common in the anterior group.

Surgical procedure for cervical OPLL must be selected considering cervical alignment in the sagittal plane, canal occupying ratio by ossified ligament, types of ossification, etc.

TLIF WITH CORTICAL PEDICULAR SCREW FIXATION
K S Sivananthan
Hospital Fatimah, Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia

Midline lumbar fusion (MIDLF) using cortical pedicular screws is a new alternative for minimally invasive surgery.

This technique has the following benefits :-
1. Small incision (4-5 cm) (same as the laminectomy incision)
2. No extension needed for screw insertion
3. Less muscle damage
4. Almost 0 muscle retraction
5. Less blood loss
6. Higher pull out strength and equivalent construct strength vs traditional PS fixation

The cortical screw fixation is from the inframedial aspect of the pedicle to the supralateral aspect of the pedicle. The cortical screw which is usually 4.5 – 5 mm is inserted obliquely.

This fixation for spinal fusion has the following advantages :-

Fixation
• Trajectory maximizes cortical bone contact

Visualization
• Single small midline incision
• Familiar open anatomy with clear visualization
• Not restricted by a tubular retractor

Preservation
• Less muscle disruption than traditional open technique
• Less neurovascular elements compromised
• Capsules of posterior joints not damaged
• Less blood loss
LESS INVASIVE EXPANSIVE OPEN DOOR LAMINOPLASTY FOR MULTIPLE LEVEL CERVICAL SPONDYLOTIC MYELOPATHY

Wen-Tien Wu
Department of Orthopedics, Hualien Tzu Chi Hospital,
Buddhist Tzu Chi Medical Foundation, Tzu Chi University, TAIWAN

Expansive open-door laminoplasty (EOLP) is a safe and effective surgical method for treating multilevel cervical spondylotic myelopathy (MCSM). The approach, developed by Hirabayashi in 1977, involves fixing the opened laminae by using suture material. This method was found to yield a high incidence of lamina reclosure. O’Brien et al. in 1996 reported a method of applying maxillofacial miniplates and screws to provide primary resistance against lamina reclosure. Between 2005 and 2011, we conducted EOLP securing by using titanium miniplates and screws for treating MCSM and observed favorable surgical results. However, several predominant complications of this method were still noted; approximately 42% of the treated patients exhibited moderate to severe postoperative axial neck pain, 35% experienced a loss of range of motion (ROM), and 2.8% displayed C5 nerve palsy.

To reduce the incidence rates of these complications, we developed a modified EOLP (MEOLP) method, which we have used since 2011 and evaluated in a retrospective study. By reducing surgical dissection by preserving the unilateral paraspinal musculature, preserving the C7 spinous process, and creating more medial gutter for reducing facet joint violation, the frequency of persistent postoperative axial neck pain and ROM loss significantly decreased with similar neurological outcomes at postop 1 year follow-up. Clinical and radiographic outcomes revealed significant recovery exhibited gradual improvement during 1–4 years after surgery. MEOLP also yielded satisfactory mid-term outcomes at postop 4 years follow-up. The mean JOA recovery rate of postoperative 4 years follow-up was 82.3% and 85% range of motion was observed. None of these patients experienced aggravated or severe neck pain or showed complications of temporary C5 nerve palsy and lamina reclosure.

Through combination of MEOLP with short segment fixation or long instrumented fusion, the advantages of laminoplasty can be more widely applied on the patients who suffered from MCSM.

HOW TO CHOOSE BETWEEN FUSION AND ARTIFICIAL DISC REPLACEMENT FOR LUMBAR DEGENERATIVE DISC DISEASES?

Bambang Tiksnadi
Department of Orthopaedic and Traumatology,
Dr Hasan Sadikin Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia

The Lumbar fusion for axial pain is an option for treatment of symptomatic degenerative diseases refractory to non surgical treatment. Results following fusion surgery may be unsatisfactory due to persistent pain despite achievement of a radiographically healed fusion. The Artificial Disc Replacement (ADR) as an alternative of surgical procedure base on to diminish complications after fusion procedure done. But after several years of experiences there are still lots of complications happen. One of the causes is improper surgical indication, because up to now there is no classification system has taken into account the state of degeneration of facet joint which was believed have an important role on selecting proper surgical procedures for DDD.

The classification system of Lumbar DDD is important for comparison of data from different investigators using same terminology. The precise and accuracy of the degree of degeneration is paramount to the clinical success of the chosen treatment.

Prior Classification of DDD, are based on MRI signal intensity alone, while the internal disruption of the disc is not reliably diagnosed by MRI alone, must be include provocative discography and plain radiographs.

The success of an ADR (Artificial Disc Replacement) depends on the state of facet joint degeneration.

Simple classification based on evaluation of MRI, plain x-ray, provocative discography is mandatory to explain the condition of anterior and posterior column of intervertebral segment is necessary.
Pedicle screw fixation is widely used as lumbar and thoracic spine instrumentation. Pedicle screws must be inserted in the correct position to prevent complications such as nerve root or vascular injury. Entry point for screw placement is different according to the level of the spine. In the thoracolumbar spine (T10-L5) it starts at the intersection between midpoint of transverse process and lateral margin of the facet joint. In the mid thoracic spine, it starts at the intersection between the upper margin of the transverse process and just medial to lateral margin of the articular process.

In a normal spine, the screws proceed with a convergent angle of 15-20 degrees in the upper thoracic and lumbar spine. In the thoracolumbar spine the screws should be inserted more vertically. In a deformed spine the direction of the screw is different according to the deformity. On the concave side the screws proceed vertically, while on the convex side they should go forward more convergently depending on how much the vertebral rotation is.

In a osteoporotic spine, a few drills are helpful to enhance screw purchase. First, avoid too much strain on screws. Surgeons exert compression or distraction force on the screws, which may cause screw loosening and loss of correction. Second, be aware of how to insert screws firmly. Inserting screws convergently provides more purchase power than inserting vertically. Sacral screws are likely to be loosened. Three point fixation technique aiming at the superior endplate of the sacrum assures the highest pull out strength in the sacral fixation. Third, cement augmentation on the screws is the most powerful technique. Cement is injected into the vertebral body using a cannula, and then insert screws. There are also specially designed screws that have holes on the screw shaft through which the cement leaks out.

Ankylosing spondylitis typically affects the large girdle joints and the axial skeleton with bony ankyloses of the synovial joints resulting in stiffness and deformity. The commonest deformity is kyphosis affecting the thoracolumbosacral spine and less so at the cervical spine leading to sagittal balance and a low gaze angle. Stress fractures with or without dislocations can occur with minor injuries frequently complicated by nonunion and pseudoarthrosis formation. Fortunately with more effective medical treatment in the recent decades the incidence of severe deformity is obviously on the decline.

There is still controversy on whether one should tackle the hips or spinal deformity first but most surgeons are in favor of the former which is a relatively simpler surgery with more reliable outcome. Spinal extension osteotomy with shortening of the posterior column is preferred to anterior column lengthening. Correction at the maximum kyphosis should give the best cosmetic effect. However an osteotomy at the lower lumbar segment can magnify the amount of sagittal translation and is safer neurologically. Multilevel Ponte type of osteotomy can produce a smoother contour of correction but each osteotomy can only provide <10 degrees of correction. A single level pedicular subtraction osteotomy with resection of the middle column can typically provide 30 degrees of extension correction. Vertebral column resection is seldom needed in AS. The location and number of the osteotomies depend on the morphology and severity of the deformity. A careful preoperative planning with simple xray templating or software programs should be done. One should be aware of the location of the ‘new apex’ of the kyphosis after correction and thus avoid ending the instrumentation at this site. Stress fractures at the junction can occur if not handled appropriately.

Positioning of the patient intraoperatively can be a challenge to the anesthesiologist. Supporting frames may have to be tailor made for deformities of different severities. Electrophysiologic monitoring is mandatory especially when osteotomies are performed at the cord level. Successful correction of kyphotic deformity in ankylosing spondylitis is very rewarding not only physically but also on the self-esteem of the patient.
SELECTING FUSION LEVELS IN AIS SURGERY

Gabriel Liu
Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, National University of Singapore, National University Health System (NUHS), SINGAPORE

Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis is a common spinal deformity. If the condition progresses, it can result in significant morbidity and even mortality. The aim of this talk is to describe the surgical management of the Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. We shall discuss common terminologies, surgical fusions level selection and outcomes.

CONGENITAL SCOLIOSIS: MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLES

Yat Wa Wong
Department of Orthopedics & Traumatology, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong

The prevalence rate of congenital scoliosis is on average about 1 in 1000 live births. The exact cause for most cases are unknown. Since the cardiovascular system, genitourinary tract and spine develop at the same embryologic period, anomalies at these organ systems may appear at same patients. Congenital scoliosis can be classified according to the pathogenesis at the embryonic stage:
1. Failure of formation.
2. Failure of segmentation.
3. Mixed anomaly.
4. Hemimetameric shift.
5. Complex anomaly.

The natural history of the congenital scoliosis is affected by the types of vertebral anomalies, location, age and the associated rib fusion. Among all the factors, the types of anomalies are the most important factor to predict the final outcome of the deformity. McMaster’s publication provides reliable prediction of the natural history.

Most patients are asymptomatic apart from the deformity. However, subtle evidence of minor neurological deficit, such as feet deformity, limb muscle atrophy or asymmetric reflexes, may suggest spinal dysraphism. Treatment options depend on the natural history. Bracing is usually ineffective for the primary curves but may be helpful to control the compensatory curves. For patients with more severe scoliosis or likely develop severe deformity before skeletal maturity, surgery is indicated. Before any surgical correction, MRI whole spine is mandatory to exclude any spinal dysraphism.

Surgeons should intervene before the deformity becomes severe and rigid. However, for established severe cases, preoperative halo traction is a safe way to get a better correction. A variety of operative strategies are available. They include in situ fusion, convex hemiepiphysiodesis +/- concave distraction, hemivertebra excision, growing rods, VEPTR or vertebral column resection. Many factors may affect the choice of surgery. They include type of deformity, severity, age, expertise, risk parents can accept and social economic support.
SEVERE SCOLIOSIS: TREATMENT OPTIONS
Arvind Jayaswal
Department of Spine Surgery, Primus Super Speciality Hospital,
New Delhi, India

The result of scoliosis correction is influenced by many factors, such as the severity of deformity, spine flexibility, apical rotation, patient’s age, type of deformity, and associated abnormalities. Severe rigid scoliosis is more difficult to treat because the spine deformity is usually stiff – amenable only to radical release or osteotomy of the spine. The management of severe curves can potentially carry significant risk, especially with respect to blood loss, neurologic deficit and pulmonary compromise. However, the benefit of this surgical treatment is potentially far reaching from a cosmetic, pulmonary, and general health viewpoint which may prolong many of these patient’s lives and give tremendous confidence & psychological boost to their personality.

In developing countries, a large chunk of scoliosis patients present late. There is still no consensus in the management of these rigid curves. Combined anterior and posterior radical release with instrumentation is generally required for correction of these deformities. However, there has been a shift to posterior only approach with multiple osteotomies and vertebral column resections for correction of all rigid deformities with the advent of segmental pedicle screw-rod instrumentation. The role of anterior release today has become questionable with good results from posterior approach alone.

We evaluated the outcome of Staged anterior release and posterior instrumentation for rigid scoliosis with curve more than 90° & share our experience of treating these challenging deformities.

In our experience, a Staged approach to the management of severe, rigid scoliosis helps get a better correction. Anterior release loosens up the rigid apex so that the extent of the osteotomies in the second stage from the back is substantially reduced to get a good correction.

MINIMALLY INvasive SPINE SURGERY IN SPINAL METASTASIS
Chris Yin Wei Chan
Spine Unit, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur
University Malaya Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

With better diagnostic instruments and advancement in systemic therapy for oncological diseases, the prevalence of patients who present with spinal metastasis is on the rise. In this lecture, we would introduce the current concepts in the management of patients with spinal metastasis. Specifically, we will discuss surgical indications in spinal metastasis and how we incorporate treatment algorithms such as the Mayo algorithm and the Memorial Sloan Kettering algorithm (NOMS algorithm) as well as prognostic scoring system like the Tomita and Tokuhashi scores into our surgical decision making. With introduction of Long Construct Minimally Invasive Stabilization Techniques (MIST), we would also share our experience on the safety of percutaneous pedicle screw instrumentation in our population, the technical tips, tricks and how to avoid complications associated with instrumentation and discuss our clinical experience on the benefits of minimally invasive spine surgery compared to open spine surgery in spinal metastasis patients in a propensity matched study.
DEGENERATIVE SPONDYLOLISTHESIS
Luthfi Gatam
Spine Division Orthopaedic and Traumatology Department, Fatmawati Hospital Jakarta, Indonesia

Spondylolisthesis is a condition characterized by anterior migration of cranial vertebrae in respect to the caudal vertebrae. The most common type of spondylolisthesis is degenerative spondylolisthesis. The incidence of spondylolisthesis is higher in woman over the age of 40 years old, and most commonly occurs in level L4-L5. The risk factor for degenerative spondylolisthesis are sacralization of L5 and sagitally oriented facet joints. The symptoms of spondylolisthesis include back pain and neurological symptoms. Dynamic radiograph and MRI are essentials in diagnosing and planning for the treatment of spondylolisthesis. Managements of spondylolisthesis include physical therapy, NSAID, epidural steroid injection, and surgery. Surgical treatment for degenerative spondylolisthesis may consist of decompression with or without instrumented fusion. Complications of spondylolisthesis are pseudoarthrosis, adjacent segment disease, surgical site infection, dural tear, and positioning neuropathy. Complication rates will increase with older age, increase blood lost, longer operative time, number of level fusion.
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